Bunch-by-bunch feedback and diagnostics in ESRF Demonstration of iGp12 and LNFE

D. Teytelman

Dimtel, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA

April 26, 2017



Outline

- iGp12 introduction
- Setup and planning
- Single bunch studies
- Multibunch measurements at zero chromaticity
- 5 Studies at nominal chromaticity



iGp12 Highlights





- A 500+ MHz processing channel.
- Finite Impulse Response (FIR) bunch-by-bunch filtering for feedback.
- Control and diagnostics via EPICS soft IOC on Linux.
- External triggers, fiducial synchronization, low-speed ADCs/DACs, general-purpose digital I/O.











- 3 front-end channels.
- 1–1.5 GHz front-end detection frequency.
- 2-cycle comb generator.
- 1–1.5 GHz back-end frequency.
- Integrated control via iGp:
 - LO phase shifters;
 - Attenuators;
 - Temperature measurement and stabilization.









- 3 front-end channels.
- 1–1.5 GHz front-end detection frequency.
- 2-cycle comb generator.
- 1–1.5 GHz back-end frequency.
- Integrated control via iGp:
 - LO phase shifters;
 - Attenuators;
 - Temperature measurement and stabilization.











- 3 front-end channels.
- 1–1.5 GHz front-end detection frequency.
- 2-cycle comb generator.
- 1–1.5 GHz back-end frequency.
- Integrated control via iGp:
 - LO phase shifters;
 - Attenuators;
 - Temperature measurement and stabilization.









- 3 front-end channels.
- 1–1.5 GHz front-end detection frequency.
- 2-cycle comb generator.
- 1–1.5 GHz back-end frequency.
- Integrated control via iGp:
 - LO phase shifters;
 - Attenuators;
 - Temperature measurement and stabilization.










- 3 front-end channels.
- 1–1.5 GHz front-end detection frequency.
- 2-cycle comb generator.
- 1–1.5 GHz back-end frequency.
- Integrated control via iGp:
 - LO phase shifters;
 - Attenuators;
 - Temperature measurement and stabilization.



Front/Back-end Block Diagram





2017-04-26

iGp12 Specifications

- Design goals:
 - Reliability;
 - Maintainability;
 - Ease of use:
 - Diagnostics.
- FPGA based processing:
 - Flexible:
 - Field upgradable.

Specifications

Bunch spacing \geq 1.9 ns

Harmonic number 32-5120

ADC resolution 12 bits

DAC resolution 12 bits

ADC bandwidth 1.35 GHz

Feedback filter 32-tap FIR


Downsampling 1-256

DAQ memory 12 MS

Triggers 2




2017-04-26




- Updates at 2 Hz
- Uses data from all bunches over many turns (12672 for ESRF).
- Four waveforms:
 - Mean;
 - RMS
 - Bunch with largest RMS;
 - Averaged spectrum of all bunches.






- Updates at 2 Hz
- Uses data from all bunches over many turns (12672 for ESRF).
- Four waveforms:
 - Mean;
 - RMS;
 - Bunch with largest RMS;
 - Averaged spectrum of all bunches.





- Updates at 2 Hz
- Uses data from all bunches over many turns (12672 for ESRF).
- Four waveforms:
 - Mean;
 - RMS;
 - Bunch with largest RMS;
 - Averaged spectrum of all bunches.






- Updates at 2 Hz
- Uses data from all bunches over many turns (12672 for ESRF).
- Four waveforms:
 - Mean;
 - RMS;
 - Bunch with largest RMS;
 - Averaged spectrum of all bunches.




Feedback in Action



- With feedback off we see vertical oscillation (28.6 dB peak);
- When feedback is turned on, vertical motion is suppressed to the noise floor;



Feedback in Action



- With feedback off we see vertical oscillation (28.6 dB peak);
- When feedback is turned on, vertical motion is suppressed to the noise floor;



Outline

- iGp12 introduction
- Setup and planning
- Single bunch studies
- Multibunch measurements at zero chromaticity
- 5 Studies at nominal chromaticity



Day by Day Summary

- Sunday (2017-04-23):
 - Unpacked the hardware:
 - Network and signal connections;
 - Passive monitoring with ESRF front-end;
 - Dimtel LNFE setup.
- Monday (2017-04-24):

 - Parasitically timed the front-end;
 - Using very low amplitude single bunch excitation timed the
 - Set up multibunch feedback:
 - Checked notch monitoring, tune tracking, single bunch transfer

BxB feedback and diagnostics in ESRF

- Tuesday (2017-04-25):



10 / 31

Day by Day Summary

- Sunday (2017-04-23):
 - Unpacked the hardware;
 - Network and signal connections;
 - Passive monitoring with ESRF front-end;
 - Dimtel LNFE setup.
- Monday (2017-04-24):
 - Adjusted timing to the BPM hybrid to optimize common mode rejection;
 - Parasitically timed the front-end;
 - Using very low amplitude single bunch excitation timed the back-end:
 - Set up multibunch feedback;
 - Checked notch monitoring, tune tracking, single bunch transfer function.
- Tuesday (2017-04-25):
 - MDT shift from 8:00 to 19:00:
 - ► Covered 9 out of 10 items in the plan



Day by Day Summary

- Sunday (2017-04-23):
 - Unpacked the hardware:
 - Network and signal connections;
 - Passive monitoring with ESRF front-end;
 - Dimtel LNFE setup.
- Monday (2017-04-24):
 - Adjusted timing to the BPM hybrid to optimize common mode rejection:
 - Parasitically timed the front-end;
 - Using very low amplitude single bunch excitation timed the back-end:
 - Set up multibunch feedback;
 - Checked notch monitoring, tune tracking, single bunch transfer function

BxB feedback and diagnostics in ESRF

- Tuesday (2017-04-25):
 - MDT shift from 8:00 to 19:00:
 - Covered 9 out of 10 items in the plan.




Setup



- Baseband processor (iGp12) and 352 MHz low noise front-end (LNFE);
- Dimtel BPMH-20-2G hybrid processes 4 button signals to generate ΔY, ΔX, and Σ;
- Differential DAC output drives two power amplifiers directly.




Setup



- Baseband processor (iGp12) and 352 MHz low noise front-end (LNFE);
- Dimtel BPMH-20-2G hybrid processes 4 button signals to generate ΔY, ΔX, and Σ;
- Differential DAC output drives two power amplifiers directly.



Setup



- Baseband processor (iGp12) and 352 MHz low noise front-end (LNFE);
- Dimtel BPMH-20-2G hybrid processes 4 button signals to generate ΔY, ΔX, and Σ;
- Differential DAC output drives two power amplifiers directly.



- Single bunch timing and calibration @ 8 mA, high chromaticity;

- ✓ Standard 7/8 fill, high chromaticity



2017-04-26

- Single bunch timing and calibration @ 8 mA, high chromaticity;
- √ Single bunch limits vs. chromaticity, gaps open and closed;
- √ Feedback operation: zero chromaticity, uniform fill;
 - √ Characterization of growth rates;
 - ✓ Bunch cleaning (zero chromaticity);
 - √ Parasitic tune monitoring.
- ✓ Standard 7/8 fill, high chromaticity
 - Tune monitoring tools
 - Bunch cleaning
- Injection studies;
- $ilde{ imes}$ Operate with the current (ESRF) front end



- ✓ Single bunch timing and calibration @ 8 mA, high chromaticity;
- √ Single bunch limits vs. chromaticity, gaps open and closed;
- √ Feedback operation: zero chromaticity, uniform fill;
 - ✓ Characterization of growth rates;
 - ✓ Bunch cleaning (zero chromaticity);
 - ✓ Parasitic tune monitoring.
- ✓ Standard 7/8 fill, high chromaticity
 - √ Tune monitoring tools
 - Bunch cleaning
- √ Injection studies;
- Operate with the current (ESRF) front end



- ✓ Single bunch timing and calibration @ 8 mA, high chromaticity;
- √ Single bunch limits vs. chromaticity, gaps open and closed;
- √ Feedback operation: zero chromaticity, uniform fill;
 - √ Characterization of growth rates;
 - ✓ Bunch cleaning (zero chromaticity);
 - ✓ Parasitic tune monitoring.
- ✓ Standard 7/8 fill, high chromaticity
 - ✓ Tune monitoring tools
 - ✓ Bunch cleaning
- Injection studies;
- Operate with the current (ESRF) front end



2017-04-26

- ✓ Single bunch timing and calibration @ 8 mA, high chromaticity;
- √ Single bunch limits vs. chromaticity, gaps open and closed;
- √ Feedback operation: zero chromaticity, uniform fill;
 - √ Characterization of growth rates;
 - ✓ Bunch cleaning (zero chromaticity);
 - Parasitic tune monitoring.
- ✓ Standard 7/8 fill, high chromaticity
 - ✓ Tune monitoring tools
 - ✓ Bunch cleaning
- Injection studies;
- $ilde{ imes}$ Operate with the current (ESRF) front end.




2017-04-26


Outline

- iGp12 introduction
- Setup and planning
- Single bunch studies
- 4 Multibunch measurements at zero chromaticity
- Studies at nominal chromaticity







- Configured 9 dB of attenuation to handle apparent orbit offset;
- Calibration factor is 0.36 counts/µm/mA;
- Centering the beam requires a –476 μm bump;
- At this offset can support single bunch currents up to 12 mA;
- Much higher sensitivities are feasible with better pickup (β) and orbit offset trimming.



- Configured 9 dB of attenuation to handle apparent orbit offset;
- Calibration factor is 0.36 counts/µm/mA;
- Centering the beam requires a –476 μm bump;
- At this offset can support single bunch currents up to 12 mA;
- Much higher sensitivities are feasible with better pickup (β) and orbit offset trimming.




- Configured 9 dB of attenuation to handle apparent orbit offset;
- Calibration factor is 0.36 counts/μm/mA;
- Centering the beam requires a –476 μm bump;
- At this offset can support single bunch currents up to 12 mA;
- Much higher sensitivities are feasible with better pickup (β) and orbit offset trimming.




- Configured 9 dB of attenuation to handle apparent orbit offset;
- Calibration factor is 0.36 counts/μm/mA;
- Centering the beam requires a
 -476 μm bump;
- At this offset can support single bunch currents up to 12 mA;
 - Much higher sensitivities are feasible with better pickup (β) and orbit offset trimming.


14/31



- Configured 9 dB of attenuation to handle apparent orbit offset;
- Calibration factor is 0.36 counts/µm/mA;
- Centering the beam requires a
 -476 μm bump;
- At this offset can support single bunch currents up to 12 mA;
- Much higher sensitivities are feasible with better pickup (β) and orbit offset trimming.

Maximum Single Bunch Current vs. Chromaticity







- A bit of feedback tuning at each point;
- Could definitely benefit from more tuning time;
- Roughly consistent with the results from the existing system;
- Modifying feedback during injection might help extend the limit.



Maximum Single Bunch Current vs. Chromaticity







- A bit of feedback tuning at each point;
- Could definitely benefit from more tuning time;
- Roughly consistent with the results from the existing system;
- Modifying feedback during injection might help extend the limit.



Maximum Single Bunch Current vs. Chromaticity







- A bit of feedback tuning at each point;
- Could definitely benefit from more tuning time;
- Roughly consistent with the results from the existing system;
- Modifying feedback during injection might help extend the limit.




Maximum Single Bunch Current vs. Chromaticity






- A bit of feedback tuning at each point;
- Could definitely benefit from more tuning time;
- Roughly consistent with the results from the existing system;
- Modifying feedback during injection might help extend the limit.









Zero chromaticity, 2 mA;

- Feedback is turned off for 180 μs;
- Growth looks linear;
- Reactive feedback setup closed loop induces frequency shift.




16/31






- Zero chromaticity, 2 mA;
- Feedback is turned off for 180 μs;
- Growth looks linear;
- Reactive feedback setup —









- Zero chromaticity, 2 mA;
- Feedback is turned off for 180 µs;
- Growth looks linear;
- Reactive feedback setup closed loop induces frequency shift.








- Zero chromaticity, 2 mA;
- Feedback is turned off for 180 µs;
- Growth looks linear;
- Reactive feedback setup closed loop induces frequency shift.




Outline

- iGp12 introduction
- Setup and planning
- Single bunch studies
- Multibunch measurements at zero chromaticity
- 5 Studies at nominal chromaticity







- Grow/damp at 100 mA, 8 ms growth time;
- Damping rates non-uniform —
- Fits look very clean, textbook
- Filled to 200 mA, not limited by
- Growth time too long, when




- Grow/damp at 100 mA, 8 ms growth time;
- Only resistive wall modes;
- Damping rates non-uniform —
- Fits look very clean, textbook
- Filled to 200 mA, not limited by
- Growth time too long, when



- Grow/damp at 100 mA, 8 ms growth time;
- Only resistive wall modes;
- Damping rates non-uniform low frequency response of the amplifier?
- Fits look very clean, textbook
- Filled to 200 mA, not limited by
- Growth time too long, when




- Grow/damp at 100 mA, 8 ms growth time;
- Only resistive wall modes;
- Damping rates non-uniform low frequency response of the amplifier?
- Fits look very clean, textbook exponential transients;
- Filled to 200 mA, not limited by instabilities;
- Growth time too long, when feedback turns on there is not enough gain to damp the motion.




- Grow/damp at 100 mA, 8 ms growth time;
- Only resistive wall modes;
- Damping rates non-uniform low frequency response of the amplifier?
- Fits look very clean, textbook exponential transients;
- Filled to 200 mA, not limited by instabilities;
- Growth time too long, when feedback turns on there is not enough gain to damp the motion.

18/31




- Grow/damp at 100 mA, 8 ms growth time;
- Only resistive wall modes;
- Damping rates non-uniform low frequency response of the amplifier?
- Fits look very clean, textbook exponential transients;
- Filled to 200 mA, not limited by instabilities;
- Growth time too long, when feedback turns on there is not enough gain to damp the motion.




- In closed loop operation, feedback signals show a notch at the betatron frequency;
- Beam response is resonant at the tune frequency;
- Attenuation of detection noise by the feedback is proportiona to the loop gain;
- Transfer gain from noise to the feedback input is $\frac{1}{1+L(\omega)}$
- Maximum attenuation at the resonance, thus a notch.

BxB feedback and diagnostics in ESRF


2017-04-26




- In closed loop operation, feedback signals show a notch at the betatron frequency;
- Beam response is resonant at the tune frequency;
- Attenuation of detection noise by the feedback is proportional to the loop gain;
- Transfer gain from noise to the feedback input is $\frac{1}{1+L(\omega)}$
- Maximum attenuation at the resonance, thus a notch.



- In closed loop operation, feedback signals show a notch at the betatron frequency;
- Beam response is resonant at the tune frequency;
- Attenuation of detection noise by the feedback is proportional to the loop gain;
- Transfer gain from noise to the feedback input is $\frac{1}{1+L(\omega)}$
- Maximum attenuation at the resonance, thus a notch.




- In closed loop operation, feedback signals show a notch at the betatron frequency;
- Beam response is resonant at the tune frequency;
- Attenuation of detection noise by the feedback is proportional to the loop gain;
- Transfer gain from noise to the feedback input is $\frac{1}{1+L(\omega)}$
- Maximum attenuation at the resonance, thus a notch.




- In closed loop operation, feedback signals show a notch at the betatron frequency;
- Beam response is resonant at the tune frequency;
- Attenuation of detection noise by the feedback is proportional to the loop gain;
- Transfer gain from noise to the feedback input is $\frac{1}{1+L(\omega)}$
- Maximum attenuation at the resonance, thus a notch.

Tune Monitoring Example




- Tune tracking during injection from 10 to 200 mA;
- Done by the iGp12 at 2 Hz update rate:
 - Exponential averaging of 5 sweeps (2.5 s time constant);
 - Minimum search in 120–150 kHz range.
- Network port change around –4 minute mark.

Tune Monitoring Example




- Tune tracking during injection from 10 to 200 mA;
- Done by the iGp12 at 2 Hz update rate:
 - Exponential averaging of 5 sweeps (2.5 s time constant);
 - Minimum search in 120–150 kHz range.
- Network port change around –4 minute mark.

Tune Monitoring Example




- Tune tracking during injection from 10 to 200 mA;
- Done by the iGp12 at 2 Hz update rate:
 - Exponential averaging of 5 sweeps (2.5 s time constant);
 - Minimum search in 120–150 kHz range.
- Network port change around -4 minute mark.




- Performed at 15.4 mA (under the threshold of instability);
- Each mode is excited to a small amplitude under feedback control;
- In a transient measurement excitation and feedback are turned off;
- Capturing 21 ms of beam motion twice a second, 16.5 minutes to scan all modes;
- 27 GiB data set.






- Performed at 15.4 mA (under the threshold of instability);
- Each mode is excited to a small amplitude under feedback control;
- In a transient measurement excitation and feedback are turned off;
- Capturing 21 ms of beam motion twice a second, 16.5 minutes to scan all modes;
- 27 GiB data set.






- Performed at 15.4 mA (under the threshold of instability);
- Each mode is excited to a small amplitude under feedback control;
- In a transient measurement excitation and feedback are turned off;
- Capturing 21 ms of beam motion twice a second, 16.5 minutes to scan all modes;
- 27 GiB data set.






- Performed at 15.4 mA (under the threshold of instability);
- Each mode is excited to a small amplitude under feedback control;
- In a transient measurement excitation and feedback are turned off;
- Capturing 21 ms of beam motion twice a second, 16.5 minutes to scan all modes;
- 27 GiB data set.






- Performed at 15.4 mA (under the threshold of instability);
- Each mode is excited to a small amplitude under feedback control;
- In a transient measurement excitation and feedback are turned off;
- Capturing 21 ms of beam motion twice a second, 16.5 minutes to scan all modes;
- 27 GiB data set.




- Automated processing extracts growth or damping rates;
- Clear resistive wall signature;
- A band of higher order modes around mode –365 (129 + N × 352 MHz);
- A smaller HOM band around -298 (105 + N × 352 MHz);
- Radiation damping rate 118 s⁻¹ (8.5 ms damping time).






- Automated processing extracts growth or damping rates;
- Clear resistive wall signature;
- A band of higher order modes around mode –365 (129 + N × 352 MHz);
- A smaller HOM band around -298 (105 + N × 352 MHz);
- Radiation damping rate 118 s⁻¹ (8.5 ms damping time).






- Automated processing extracts growth or damping rates;
- Clear resistive wall signature;
- A band of higher order modes around mode -365 (129 + N × 352 MHz);
- A smaller HOM band around -298 (105 + N × 352 MHz);
- Radiation damping rate 118 s⁻¹ (8.5 ms damping time).





- Automated processing extracts growth or damping rates;
- Clear resistive wall signature;
- A band of higher order modes around mode –365 (129 + N × 352 MHz);
- A smaller HOM band around -298 (105 + N × 352 MHz);
- Radiation damping rate 118 s⁻¹ (8.5 ms damping time).





- Automated processing extracts growth or damping rates;
- Clear resistive wall signature;
- A band of higher order modes around mode -365 (129 + N × 352 MHz);
- A smaller HOM band around -298 (105 + N × 352 MHz);
- Radiation damping rate 118 s⁻¹ (8.5 ms damping time).




Outline

- iGp12 introduction
- Setup and planning
- Single bunch studies
- Multibunch measurements at zero chromaticity
- 5 Studies at nominal chromaticity




Bunch Cleaning



- Started with scraper at 1.5, tested at 3.0, then 4.5;
- Cleaning works fine at 1.5 and 3.0, somewhat touchy at 4.5;
- Need a bit of parameter optimization, most likely can support reliable cleaning at scraper gap of 3.5–4.5.




Bunch Cleaning



- Started with scraper at 1.5, tested at 3.0, then 4.5;
- Cleaning works fine at 1.5 and 3.0, somewhat touchy at 4.5;
- Need a bit of parameter optimization, most likely can support reliable cleaning at scraper gap of 3.5–4.5.




Bunch Cleaning




- Started with scraper at 1.5, tested at 3.0, then 4.5;
- Cleaning works fine at 1.5 and 3.0, somewhat touchy at 4.5;
- Need a bit of parameter optimization, most likely can support reliable cleaning at scraper gap of 3.5–4.5.




Single Bunch Transfer Function






- Turn off feedback for bunch 40;
- Apply swept sinusoidal excitation;
- Measure beam transfer function;
- A simple-minded fit of a resonant response;
- Fit a linear combination of 3 resonances;
- 5 resonances;
- 7 resonances;
- 9 resonances;
- 11 reconances









- Turn off feedback for bunch 40;
- Apply swept sinusoidal excitation;
- Measure beam transfer function;
- A simple-minded fit of a resonant response;
- Fit a linear combination of 3 resonances;
- 5 resonances;
- 7 resonances;
- 9 resonances;
 - 11 resonances.









- Turn off feedback for bunch 40;
- Apply swept sinusoidal excitation;
- Measure beam transfer function;
- A simple-minded fit of a resonant response;
- Fit a linear combination of 3 resonances;
- 5 resonances;
- 7 resonances;
- 9 resonances;
- 11 resonances.









- Turn off feedback for bunch 40;
- Apply swept sinusoidal excitation;
- Measure beam transfer function;
- A simple-minded fit of a resonant response;
- Fit a linear combination of 3 resonances;
- 5 resonances;
- 7 resonances;
- 9 resonances;
- 11 resonances.









- Turn off feedback for bunch 40;
- Apply swept sinusoidal excitation;
- Measure beam transfer function;
- A simple-minded fit of a resonant response;
- Fit a linear combination of 3 resonances;
- 5 resonances;
- 7 resonances;
- 9 resonances;
- 11 resonances.









- Turn off feedback for bunch 40;
- Apply swept sinusoidal excitation;
- Measure beam transfer function;
- A simple-minded fit of a resonant response;
- Fit a linear combination of 3 resonances;
- 5 resonances;
- 7 resonances;
- 9 resonances;
- 11 resonances.









- Turn off feedback for bunch 40;
- Apply swept sinusoidal excitation;
- Measure beam transfer function;
- A simple-minded fit of a resonant response;
- Fit a linear combination of 3 resonances;
- 5 resonances;
- 7 resonances;
- 9 resonances;
- 11 resonances.



Single Bunch Phase Tracking




- A single bunch is excited with a sinusoidal excitation at low amplitude (20–40 μm);
- Response is detected relative to the excitation to determine the phase shift
- In closed loop, phase tracker adjusts the excitation frequency to maintain the correct phase shift value;
- Adjustable integration time, tracking range, loop gain.




• Close the loop at -45 s;


- Slow settling at low gain, faster as the gain is raised;
- Chromaticity scan with 10 Hz steps;
- Return step of 40 Hz is too large, tracker locks a the wrong point;
- Open and close the loop to re-lock.




- Close the loop at −45 s;
- Slow settling at low gain, faster as the gain is raised:
- Chromaticity scan with 10 Hz steps;
- Return step of 40 Hz is too large, tracker locks a the wrong point;
- Open and close the loop to re-lock.




- Close the loop at -45 s;
- Slow settling at low gain, faster as the gain is raised:
- Chromaticity scan with 10 Hz steps;
- Return step of 40 Hz is too large, tracker locks a the wrong point;
- Open and close the loop to re-lock.



- Close the loop at -45 s;
- Slow settling at low gain, faster as the gain is raised:
- Chromaticity scan with 10 Hz steps;
- Return step of 40 Hz is too large, tracker locks at the wrong point;
- Open and close the loop to re-lock.




- Close the loop at −45 s;
- Slow settling at low gain, faster as the gain is raised:
- Chromaticity scan with 10 Hz steps;
- Return step of 40 Hz is too large, tracker locks at the wrong point;
- Open and close the loop to re-lock.



Decimation factor in phase tracker controls tracking bandwidth;

- 200 turns decimaton, 1.77 kHz measurement bandwidth;
- 180 Hz closed loop tracking bandwidth;
- Use time-domain downconversion to better resolve tune modulation;
- Spectrum shows lines at 10 and 50 hertz.


BxB feedback and diagnostics in ESRF




- Decimation factor in phase tracker controls tracking bandwidth;
- 200 turns decimaton, 1.77 kHz measurement bandwidth:
- Use time-domain
- Spectrum shows lines at 1

BxB feedback and diagnostics in ESRF


28 / 31




- Decimation factor in phase tracker controls tracking bandwidth;
- 200 turns decimaton, 1.77 kHz measurement bandwidth:
- 180 Hz closed loop tracking bandwidth;
- Use time-domain
- Spectrum shows lines at 1




- Decimation factor in phase tracker controls tracking bandwidth;
- 200 turns decimaton, 1.77 kHz measurement bandwidth;
- 180 Hz closed loop tracking bandwidth;
- Use time-domain downconversion to better resolve tune modulation;
- Spectrum shows lines at and 50 hertz.




- Decimation factor in phase tracker controls tracking bandwidth;
- 200 turns decimaton, 1.77 kHz measurement bandwidth;
- 180 Hz closed loop tracking bandwidth;
- Use time-domain downconversion to better resolve tune modulation;
- Spectrum shows lines at 10 and 50 hertz.



- Tune moves around by 5×10^{-4} ;
- Fitting complex phase space trajectories fails due to tune modulation;
- Modal scan runs at 2 Hz and aliases the modulation;
- Grow/damp transient shows tune shifts around 100 Hz (2.8 × 10⁻⁴) at 100 mA;
- At 15 mA expect only 4.2×10^{-5} , completely obscured by this baseline modulation.






- Tune moves around by 5×10^{-4} ;
- Fitting complex phase space trajectories fails due to tune modulation:
- Grow/damp transient shows tune
- At 15 mA expect only 4.2×10^{-5}

BxB feedback and diagnostics in ESRF


29 / 31




- Tune moves around by 5×10^{-4} ;
- Fitting complex phase space trajectories fails due to tune modulation;
- Modal scan runs at 2 Hz and aliases the modulation;
- Grow/damp transient shows tune
- At 15 mA expect only 4.2×10^{-5}

BxB feedback and diagnostics in ESRF

29 / 31




- Tune moves around by 5×10^{-4} ;
- Fitting complex phase space trajectories fails due to tune modulation;
- Modal scan runs at 2 Hz and aliases the modulation:
- Grow/damp transient shows tune shifts around 100 Hz (2.8×10^{-4}) at 100 mA:
- At 15 mA expect only 4.2×10^{-5}



- Tune moves around by 5×10^{-4} ;
- Fitting complex phase space trajectories fails due to tune modulation;
- Modal scan runs at 2 Hz and aliases the modulation;
- Grow/damp transient shows tune shifts around 100 Hz (2.8 × 10⁻⁴) at 100 mA;
- At 15 mA expect only 4.2×10^{-5} , completely obscured by this baseline modulation.

Injection Transient




Captured beam transient due to the injection kickers firing;

- Automatic extraction of the difference orbit;
- Converted to physical units using bunch-by-bunch currents and measured calibration factor.




Injection Transient



- Captured beam transient due to the injection kickers firing;
- Automatic extraction of the difference orbit;
- Converted to physical units using bunch-by-bunch currents and measured calibration factor.



Injection Transient



- Captured beam transient due to the injection kickers firing;
- Automatic extraction of the difference orbit;
- Converted to physical units using bunch-by-bunch currents and measured calibration factor.



- Successfully operated Dimtel bunch-by-bunch system in the ESRF:
- Many diagnostic features have been demonstrated:
- In the demo setup feedback pushes vertical emittance from
- With some balancing and optimization much lower noise floor is
- I'd like to thank everyone who helped to make this a successful



2017-04-26

- Successfully operated Dimtel bunch-by-bunch system in the ESRF:
- Many diagnostic features have been demonstrated;
- In the demo setup feedback pushes vertical emittance from 7.7 to 8 pm.
- With some balancing and optimization much lower noise floor is easily achievable.
- I'd like to thank everyone who helped to make this a successful test!



- Successfully operated Dimtel bunch-by-bunch system in the ESRF;
- Many diagnostic features have been demonstrated;
- In the demo setup feedback pushes vertical emittance from 7.7 to 8 pm.
- With some balancing and optimization much lower noise floor is easily achievable.
- I'd like to thank everyone who helped to make this a successful test!



- Successfully operated Dimtel bunch-by-bunch system in the ESRF;
- Many diagnostic features have been demonstrated;
- In the demo setup feedback pushes vertical emittance from 7.7 to 8 pm.
- With some balancing and optimization much lower noise floor is easily achievable.
- I'd like to thank everyone who helped to make this a successful test!



- Successfully operated Dimtel bunch-by-bunch system in the ESRF;
- Many diagnostic features have been demonstrated;
- In the demo setup feedback pushes vertical emittance from 7.7 to 8 pm.
- With some balancing and optimization much lower noise floor is easily achievable.
- I'd like to thank everyone who helped to make this a successful test!

